USE OF MECHANICAL SPLICES FOR REINFORCING STEEL
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ABSTRACT

Lap splicing requires the overlapping of two parallel bars. The overlap load transfer mechanism takes advantage of the bond between the steel and the concrete to transfer the load. The load in one bar is transferred to the concrete, and then from the concrete to the ongoing bar. The bond is largely influenced by deformations (ribs) on the surface of the reinforcing bar. Lap splices, then, can be considered structurally less reliable and design-constrictive, with many ‘hidden’ costs. As a result, usage of mechanical splices previously considered cost-prohibitive is on the rise. Also mechanical splice (Couplers) offer added benefits. The coupler splices are more reliable than lap splices because they do not depend on concrete for load transfer. Superior cyclic performance and greater structural integrity during manmade, seismic or other natural events are other advantages of mechanical butt splices. Mechanical splicing does away with the tedious calculations needed to determine proper lap lengths, and their potential errors. Because mechanical splices do not overlap, less rebar is used, reducing materials costs. This paper verifies the strength of different couplers and also an economic viability study. Different types of samples were tested under UTM and the reports are studied to calculate the economic cost comparison of the same.

INTRODUCTION

Lap splicing, which requires the overlapping of two parallel bars, has long been accepted as an effective, economical splicing method. Lap splicing has become the traditional method of connecting the steel reinforcing bars. Splicing the Reinforcement bars by laps or welding have various imperfections such as low quality welds, inadequate length of laps, failure in joints, and increase in labour cost etc. Lapped joints are not always an appropriate means of connecting reinforcing bars. The use of laps can be time consuming in terms of design and installation and can lead to greater congestion within the concrete because of the increased amount of rebar used. Laps double the steel/concrete ratio and create problems while placing the bar and during concrete consolidation. Lap splices depend upon concrete for strength so they lack structural integrity and continuity in construction. Couplers especially threaded one can simplify the design and construction of reinforced concrete structure and reduce the amount of reinforcement required. The coupler system is designed to connect two pieces of rebar together in the field quickly and easily. Mechanical splicing assures the maintaining of the continuity of the load path in the reinforcement, independent of the condition of concrete. Using mechanical butt splices allows the option of using larger diameter rebar in a smaller column, while minimizing congestion. Reduced column size results in a more efficient optimum use of floor space, an extremely beneficial from economic and design consideration. The reinforcement couplers not only provide strength to the joints but they are also an economic means of connections of two bars. Mechanical splice can bear and can deform more than a lap splice before failure occurs. The purpose of this study is to determine whether the mechanical splice sections are suitable as connectors and to study the strength and behaviour of Mechanical Splice (Coupler) under tensile loading and to study the present economic viability of this splicing system. Performance of evaluation of mechanical joints of reinforcing bars (Yoshikazu Kanoh, Hiroshi Imai, Yaszihiro Matsuzaki and Shunsuke Sugano, 1988). Economic butt splices vs. lap splicing in reinforced concrete.

TYPES OF COUPLER

The coupler system is available in several styles to meet virtually any application. The applications include standard bar-to-bar connections, presaging applications, hooked bar applications, closure pours, precast connections, rebar terminations and anchorages, transition splices, segmental construction and connections to structural steel. For study purpose threaded coupler is adopted.

THREADED COUPLER

A common older interlock system is the threaded coupler as shown in Figure 1. With an ordinary system of threading, it is common to dress the ends of the rebar before cutting or rolling the threads, thereby reducing nominal bar area and lowering load capacity. There is little or no strength difference between cut and rolled threads of the same size. Rebar ends may generally be threaded either in the fabricator's shop or in the field. Threaded bar ends must be protected against damage during shipping and handling until the splices are completed.

**Figure 1: Typical threaded splice system**

**Figure 2: True joint (TJ-09) machine**

**Figure 3: Mechanical Threaded Couplers**

**STEPS FOR PREPARING MECHANICAL JOINT**

True joint (TJ-09) machine as show in Figure 2 is used to make threads on reinforcement steel bars. It can process Fe 500 and Fe 500D reinforcement steel bars with diameter 14 to 40 mm. The couplers are installed using following four steps:

a. The coupler is normally supplied to a reinforcing bar, ready to be installed and cast in concrete.

b. After casting of the concrete and when ready to extend, remove the plastic end cap from the coupler, position the continuation bar in the sleeve and rotate the bar into the coupler.

c. Continue to screw the bar into the coupler until tight and

d. To ensure correct installation, tighten the joint to the specified torque using a calibrated torque wrench on the continuation bar.
MECHANICAL THREADED COUPLER

Couplers are manufactured on a metal lathe machine. It rotates the work piece on its axis to perform various operations such as cutting, sanding, knurling, drilling, or deformation with tools that are applied to the work piece to create an object which has symmetry about an axis of rotation. A very important aspect of coupler selection is selection of material and specifications given for them as shown in Table 1. Each manufacturer gives its own specification regarding coupler selection. It includes specifications of a leading foreign coupler manufacture and Indian specification for the selection of couplers given by NCT (National Cutting Tools). Generally couplers are manufactured from Mild Steel, but in some cases alloys of different metals can also be used as shown in Figure 3. The material should be such that couplers meet the minimum strength requirement (125% of yield strength of rebar).

Table 1. Specifications of Coupler

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupler Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>External Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Internal Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Pitch (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>37.30</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DUCTILE BEHAVIOUR OF MECHANICALLY SPLICED COUPLERS

It is depicted that the ductility of RC beam reduced when using mechanical splices. There are some factors affect the ductile behaviour of the beams can be seen:
   a. Strength of mechanical splices,
   b. Staggering length of mechanical splices and
   c. Epoxy injection into mechanical splices.

Mechanical Splices are commonly used in construction of reinforced concrete structures. It is a crucial issue for the structural engineers to determine what type of reinforcement splices should be used and where they should be located in the structures. The effect of epoxy injected in mechanical splices is increasing ductility, reducing crack width but does not increase the strength of the beam. Staggering length of the mechanical splices bar does not affect the strength of the beam but it will have a better cracking behavior and ductility if the staggering length is increased.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The materials used in this experimental work were Mechanical threaded coupler and HYSD Rebars (Fe 500). Fe 500 steel bars of diameters 12, 16, 25, 32 mm were used for study. All the steel bars used for experimentation are of same manufacturing company. Before actual start of work all steel specimens were tested in laboratory in order to check their stipulated property.
Table 2. Average comparative results of 16 mm, 25 mm and 32 mm diameter rebar for splicing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples: I, II and III</th>
<th>Normal Bars (I, II &amp; III)</th>
<th>Coupled Bars (I, II &amp; III)</th>
<th>Welded Bars (I &amp; II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>16 25 32</td>
<td>16 25 32</td>
<td>16 25 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Effective Cross Sectional Area of test piece (mm²)</td>
<td>187.5 479.745 803.82</td>
<td>187.5 479.745 803.82</td>
<td>187.5 479.745 803.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass/meter (kg/m)</td>
<td>1.54 3.766 6.31</td>
<td>1.54 3.766 6.31</td>
<td>1.54 3.766 6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauge length (mm)</td>
<td>200 200 200</td>
<td>200 200 200</td>
<td>200 200 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Yield stress Obtained (N/mm²)</td>
<td>583.74 654.79 579.283</td>
<td>551.667 533.620 540.960</td>
<td>528.875 533.875 526.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Yield stress Standard as per I.S. 1786 (N/mm²)</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Ultimate stress Obtained (N/mm²)</td>
<td>697.856 740.667 675.767</td>
<td>632.05 650.527 655.173</td>
<td>601.735 603.695 621.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Ultimate stress Standard as per I.S. 1786 (N/mm²)</td>
<td>&gt; 545</td>
<td>&gt; 545</td>
<td>&gt; 545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Elongation</td>
<td>15.77 % 16.44 % 16.19 %</td>
<td>16.04 % 16.56 % 15.88 %</td>
<td>16.73 % 15.95 % 18.38 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of Fracture from Center of Coupler (mm)</td>
<td>NA NA NA</td>
<td>20.5 69.333 51.333</td>
<td>NA NA NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Couplers before Test

There are three basic ways to splice the bars i.e. Lap Splice, Welded Splice and Mechanical Splice. For comparison purpose an incremental tensile load tests were carried out on welded splices as shown in Figure 4 (a) and coupler splices and or mechanical splice as shown in Figure 4 (b). The results were analyzed as shown in Table 2 and the feasibility of the specimens was determined based on several evaluation criteria.
COST ANALYSIS

A cost has been computed based on saving of steel in lapping which indicates couplers are an effective and an economic replacement of lap splice. Table 3 shows how couplers have effectively saved a huge amount of money in a single joint. The total cost saved per joint for 25 mm rebar is Rs. 225/- and for 32 mm rebar is Rs. 499/- which is very less than what would have been spent if lapping would have been done by using site method or simply I.S. 456: 2000 specification. On the same line for 16 mm and 12 mm rebar the saving is comparatively less.

Mechanical splices add structural and economic advantages over laps make the benefit-to-cost ratio extremely attractive because mechanical splices give the structures added toughness and load path continuity that laps cannot offer. The reinforcement couplers not only provide strength to the joints but are they are also an economic means of connections of two bars.

Table 3. Cost Analysis (For M20 grade of concrete)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bar Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Weight of Steel per meter (kg/m)</th>
<th>Development Length (mm) L_d</th>
<th>Quantity of Steel Saved Kg</th>
<th>Steel Saving Rs</th>
<th>Cost of Coupler Rs</th>
<th>Total Saving Rs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>12.189</td>
<td>609/-</td>
<td>110/-</td>
<td>499/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>5.545</td>
<td>285/-</td>
<td>60/-</td>
<td>225/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>74.45/-</td>
<td>40/-</td>
<td>34.45/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>31.48/-</td>
<td>25/-</td>
<td>6.48/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Graph of Cost Analysis

CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that couplers are effective and economic replacement of lap splice and can save a huge amount of money in a single joint. The couplers were considerably more cost effective and time saving than welding the bars together. No special high strength, enlarged thread section or increased rebar size is necessary, thus allowing the supply of reinforced bar from multiple sources for maximum cost savings. The threaded splice is a widely used mechanical splicing system worldwide.
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